UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/582,918	06/14/2006	Burkhard Kroger	BGI-186US	8250	
	959 7590 08/17/2009 LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP			EXAMINER	
FLOOR 30, SUITE 3000			JOIKE, MICHELE K		
ONE POST OFFICE SQUARE BOSTON, MA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1636		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/17/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/582,918	KROGER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	MICHELE K. JOIKE	1636					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ap	oril 2009.						
· <u> </u>							
'=	/ 						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
<u> </u>	•						
,	Claim(s) <u>55-66</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>55-66</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	coloction requirement						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Professories Province Review (PTO 042)	4) Interview Summary						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group 9 in the reply filed on April 30, 2009 is acknowledged. The claims in that group have been canceled, however, new claims, 55-66, read on the elected invention. Therefore, claims 55-66 are pending and examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 55-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicant claims a nucleotide sequence at least 90% identical or a fragment of SEQ ID NO: 1, wherein the sequence has promoter activity. The claims read on a broad genus of sequences.

The written description requirement for a genus may be satisfied through sufficient description of a representative number of species by actual reduction to practice or by disclosure of relevant identifying characteristics, i.e. structure or other physical and/or chemical properties, by functional characteristics coupled with a known

Art Unit: 1636

or disclosed correlation between function and structure, or by a combination of such identifying characteristics, sufficient to show applicants were in possession of the claimed invention. In the instant case, the specification does not sufficiently describe a representative number of sequences by actual reduction to practice or by disclosure of relevant identifying characteristics.

Applicant claims a nucleic acid sequence having promoter activity by function only, without any disclosed or known correlation between the elements and their function. The specification only provides teachings of the wild type promoter sequence. The specification does not teach how to fragment or mutate the promoter sequence. The skilled artisan cannot envision a sufficient number of embodiments of the instant invention from the instant specification because the specification only discloses wild type promoter sequence.

The state of the art at the time of filing does not provide sufficient information on the subject to overcome the deficiencies of the instant specification. There is no description in the art that allows one to envision a representative number of promoter sequences by disclosing structural or functional features of the promoter so that one of skill in the art could envision the claimed invention. Thus the skilled artisan cannot consult the art at the time of filing to envision a sufficient number of embodiments of the instant invention to see that the applicant was in possession of the claimed genus.

Furthermore, Patek et al (J. Biotech. 104: 325-334, 2003) teach that C. glutamicum promoters have lower levels of conservation of characteristic promoter sequences than

other bacteria. Without known conserved sequences it would be difficult to know how to mutate or fragment the promoter and still retain activity.

Neither the specification of the instant application or the state of the art at the time of filing teaches a structure-function relationship for a representative number of promoter sequences. As a result, the skilled artisan would not be able to envision the claimed invention. Therefore applicant has not satisfied the written description requirement to show the skilled artisan that they were in possession of the claimed genus.

Claims 55-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a genetically modified Corynebacterium glutamicum, does not reasonably provide enablement for any genetically modified microorganism. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Enablement is considered in view of the Wands factors (MPEP 2164.01(A)). These include: nature of the invention, breadth of the claims, guidance of the specification, the existence of working examples, state of the art, predictability of the art and the amount of experimentation necessary. All of the Wands factors have been considered with regard to the instant claims, with the most relevant factors discussed below.

Nature of the invention: The nature of the invention is a method for preparing

Art Unit: 1636

lysine by cultivating a genetically modified microorganism, wherein the genetically modified organism has a C. glutamicum promoter, or a genetically modified C. glutamicum promoter.

Breadth of the claims: The claims are broad because any bacteria or yeast is contemplated being used.

Guidance of the specification: The specification only teaches using C. glutamicum. It does not teach the use of any other microorganism.

Predictability and state of the art: According to page 3 of the specification, not many C. glutamicum promoters are known. Patek et al (J. Biotech. 104: 325-334, 2003) teach that not all C. glutamicum promoters will work in all bacteria. It specifically mentions C. glutamicum promoters that will not work in B. subtilis, another gram positive organism. It also teaches that C. glutamicum promoters have lower levels of conservation of characteristic promoter sequences than other bacteria.

Amount of experimentation necessary: Without conservation of characteristic promoter sequences, it will be trial and error to determine which bacteria or yeast would be able to be used to prepare lysine using the claimed promoter. In other words, one of ordinary skill in the art cannot rely on standard characteristics of the promoter to determine if the promoter will work in gram negative or gram positive bacteria, or yeast. And as stated above, C. glutamicum is a gram positive organism, but some of its promoters will not work in B. subtilis, another gram positive organism.

In view of the breadth of the claims and the lack of guidance provided by the specification as well as the unpredictability of the art, the skilled artisan would have required an undue amount of experimentation to use any microorganism.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 55-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 55 recites the limitation "the complement" in part (c). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is unclear when Applicants refer to "the complement" whether the full complement of the sequence is intended. Also, since hybridization conditions are not specified or clearly defined, it further confuses the interpretation of the language. If highly stringent conditions are not required, then less than the full complement may be able to hybridize.

Allowable Subject Matter

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHELE K. JOIKE whose telephone number is (571)272-5915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10:00-6:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,918 Page 7

Art Unit: 1636

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on (571)272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michele K. Joike/ Examiner, Art Unit 1636

Michele K. Joike Examiner Art Unit 1636